

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,540	07/30/2003	David J. Sneeringer	112325.123US2	6502
28089 7:	590 10/04/2006		EXAMINER	
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 399 PARK AVENUE			BORISSOV, IGOR N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10022			
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/629,540	SNEERINGER, DAVID J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	lgor Borissov	3639			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	Iv 2003.				
	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
	woodon roquii omonii				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •			

Art Unit: 3639

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- A. Claims 1-19 and 32-52, drawn to a system and method for monitoring or resource usage, classified in class 705, subclass 412.
- B. Claims 20-24, drawn to a method of subscribing information services, classified in class 705, subclass 1.
- C. Claim 25 drawn to a method for purchasing resources, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
- D. Claim 26, drawn to a method for determining whether maintenance is required for the energy generating equipment, classified in class 700, subclass 286.
- E. Claims 27-30, drawn to a real-time rate analysis pricing system for a resource, classified in class 705, subclass 400.
- F. Claim 31, drawn to a computer system for monitoring resource meters over a telephone network, classified in class 379, subclass 106.03.

Inventions A, B, C, D, E and F are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP 806.05(c)).

In the instant case, the invention A as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention B such as: (c) subscribing, one of periodically and aperiodically, to the published resource usage data, using at least one global computer network server.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,540

Art Unit: 3639

In the instant case, the invention A as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention C such as: (f) purchasing the resources responsive to the aggregated resource usage data for said plurality of remotely located resource consuming devices.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the instant case, the invention A as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention D such as: (c) determining whether maintenance is required for the specific resource responsive to the energy use of the specific resource.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the instant case, the invention A as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention E such as: rate analysis software means for implementing rate comparison with variable rate structures and pricing options.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the instant case, the invention A as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention F such as: a plurality of resource meters, operatively connected to a plurality of remotely located resource consuming devices and to an internal computer network via at least one of recorder translator, network server, dialer and applications server, said plurality of resource meters connectable to at least one of a public switched telephone network and a wireless network.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

Art Unit: 3639

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the submitted claims are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the claims to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Due to the complexity of the case, applicant is being afforded the courtesy of a written response.

Art Unit: 3639

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Igor Borissov whose telephone number is 571-272-6801. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Hayes can be reached on 571-272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IB

9/20/2006

IGOR N. BORISSOV PRIMARY EXAMINER